

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/779,410	02/13/2004	Barbara J. Swaab	66163-0003	7767	
10291	7590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K		
39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		0610	3711		

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>c</i> -		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/779,410	SWAAB ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vishu K. Mendiratta	3711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAY IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAY IN T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 and 24-27 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-14 and 24-27 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	je		
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3711

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11,14,24-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slade (6631905).

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 1-9,14,25-27: Slade teaches a game having a playing surface (12) as a mat (5:53) illustrating bodily poses (13) and titles (each space 13 as shown in Fig.1, includes written information as can be seen in the figure), a plurality of cards (20) having top faces depicting bodily poses (5:51-54, 6:63-65), and a random selection device (16) having numbers and actions (6:44-53).

The only differences between applicant's invention and cited reference reside in the meaning and information conveyed by he printed matter ex. Parte Breslow 192 USPQ 431.

For example a picture of a person in standing position can be interpreted as a yoga pose.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested using bodily poses on game board to attract potential customers.

Claim 10: Applicant may note that a spinner is an art recognized alternative random device and used in place of other devices such as dice.

Claim 11: Random device "actions including" indicates at rules for playing and do not further limit apparatus in the claim.

3. Claim 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slade. Slade teaches all limitations except that it does not teach spinner as a random device. A spinner is an art recognized alternative random device and used in place of other devices such as dice. In order to attract potential players it would have been obvious to provide a spinner in place of dice. Spinners have the same function as dice. One of

Art Unit: 3711

ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested using a spinner in place of dice to accomplish same function.

Page 4

- 4. Claim 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slade. Slade teaches all limitations except that it does not expressly teach device actions including as indicated by the applicant's claim. Assuming that the applicant intends print such indicia on the random device, the only difference between the cited reference and the claimed random device resides in the meaning and information conveyed by the printed matter and not considered patentable Ex. Parte Breslow 192 USPQ 431. For example combination of number indicia and the color indicia on the cited reference dice may be interpreted directing actions as claimed. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested printing indicia for actions on random device.
- 5. Claims12-13, 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slade in view of Walker (D411919).

Slade teaches all limitations except that it does not teach a carrier for the game board. Walker teaches a carrier with a strap (Fig.4) for carrying the game board. Game boards are known to be carried by people during travel and in order to secure the game board it has been a common practice to use carriers and placing game board sin carriers. One of ordinary skill I art at the time the invention was made would have provided carriers for securing game boards.

With respect to a cylindrical configuration, such features are personnel preferences and not critical to the invention. One of ordinary skill in art would have suggested modifying

the shape of the carrying bag according to their preference.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K. Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

VKM May 8, 2006